

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/724,553	12/01/2003		Edwin S. Romano	TOR7119	1862
44088	7590	06/07/2006		EXAMINER	
SEAN KA P. O. BOX			SAID, MANSOUR M		
SIOUX FALLS, SD 57109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2629	
				DATE MAILED: 06/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
· .	10/724,553	ROMANO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	MANSOUR M. SAID	2629					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	1 the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on (a)	EPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MO IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATED IN 136(a). In no event, however, may a report. Seriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH statute, cause the application to become ABAN mailing date of this communication, even if times.	NTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, ATION. ly be timely filed					
	This action is non-final.						
· -/	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D. 1	s, prosecution as to the ments is					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	ndrawn from consideration.						
Application Papers	toron oronani roquiromoria.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a light service.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appl priority documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/OPaper No(s)/Mail Date 12/1/03. 	4)	mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1 and 3 objected to because of the following informalities: In claims 1 and 13, line 5, the word "then" should be changed to "than". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Regarding claims 1 and 13, the phrase "may be" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear if the keyboard display received a video signal from the computer.
- 5. Claims 1 and 13 recites the limitation "said assembly" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 1 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dong (6,630,895 B1) in view of Chuang (6,967,831 B2).

As to claim 1, Dong teaches a computer input (keyboard, (figures 1-3)) and display (display, (figures 1-3, (20)) combination for selectively coupling to a computer (PC, (figure 4)) (column 2, 14-65), an assembly including: a housing having a top wall, a bottom wall, a back wall, a first side wall, a second side wall, and a front wall (figures 1-3); a processor (USB interface control circuit, (figure 4)) being mounted within said housing (figure 4, column 1, lines 40-45 and column 2, lines 20-25); an actuator being electrically coupled to said processor for selectively supplying electricity to processor (figures 1-4 and column 2, lines 2-29 and column 2, lines 60-65); a plurality of keys defining a computer keyboard (figures 1-3) being positioned in said top wall and being substantially flush with said top wall (figures 1-3, column 2, lines 30-41) , each of said keys being electrically coupled to said processor (figures 1-4, column 2, lines 40-45, column 2, lines 20-25 and column 2, lines 60-65); a display (display, (figures 1-4, (20)) being mounted in said top wall (column 2, lines 29-36) and being substantially flush with said top wall (column 2, lines 29-36), said display (display, (figures 1-4, (20)) being electrically coupled to said processor (USB interface control circuit, (figure 4)) (column 1, lines 40-45 and column 2, lines 15-28); an interface being electrically coupled to said processor and selectively coupled to the computer for communication between said processor and the computer (figure 4 and column 2, lines 15-30); and wherein input from said plurality of keys may be received by said computer

Art Unit: 2629

and a video signal received from the computer may be displayed on said display (figures 1-4, column 1, lines 40-59 and column 2, lines 15-65).

Dong does not expressly disclose each of said keys comprising a touch sensitive key and said housing having a height from said top wall to said bottom wall less then 2 ½ inches.

However, Chuang teaches a keyboard having a touch pad keys (figures 7-9 and column 3, 15-63), furthermore, Chuang fairly teaches a keyboard housing having a height less than a 2 ½ inches (keyboard, (3, 5 & 7-8)) is a flat keyboard, which can folded and insert into a pocket of the user (figures 3-8 and column 2, lines 30-36 and column 5, lines 30-31).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Chuang's keyboard having a touch keys and a flat housing into Dong's keyboard so as to provide a foldable keyboard employing touch panel, which has compact size and comes in handy (column 2, lines 1-5).

Dong and Chuang don't disclose a keyboard's housing having a height a specific size, such as, less than 2 ½ inches.

However, it is a design choice to make a keyboard height having less than 2 1/2 inches, unless it shows such specific size/height is an advantage feature, so as to increase the versatility of the input device.

A change is size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

As to claim 12, Dong teaches said interface including a first transceiver and second transceiver each adapted for sending and receiving wireless transmissions (figures 1-4 and column 2, lines 14-65), said first transceiver being electrically coupled to said processor (figures

Art Unit: 2629

1-4 and column 2, lines 14-65), said second transceiver being removably electrically coupled to the computer (figures 1-4 and column 2, lines 14-65).

8. Claims 2-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dong in view of Chuang as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Ozolins (2004/0100447 A1).

As to claims 2-11, Dong and Chuang disclose all claimed limitations except that LCD display, foot pads being attached to said bottom wall, and light emitters being mounted within said housing, and said apertures being positioned adjacent to said sound emitter.

However, disclose touch sensitive key (figures 1 & 3, column 2, paragraph 0015 and column 7, paragraph 0067), LCD display (column 2, paragraph 0015), foot pads being attached to said bottom wall (devices attached to or integrated with the keyboard, (column 2, paragraph 0015)), column 4, paragraph 0032 and column 6, paragraph 0056), and light emitters being mounted within said housing (column 8, paragraph 0074, and said apertures (figures 1 & 3, (102)) being positioned adjacent to said sound emitter (figures 1 & 3 and column 5, paragraph 0049).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Ozolins's keyboard having different feature into Dong's keyboard so as to perform a controller function of proving signals relating to the state of the switches to couple computers or other devices (column 0010, paragraph 0010).

Art Unit: 2629

9. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dong (6,630,895 B1) in view of Ozolins (2004/0100447 A1).

As to claim 13, Dong teaches a computer input (keyboard, (figures 1-3)) and display (display, (figures 1-3, (20)) combination for selectively coupling to a computer (PC, (figure 4)) (column 2, 14-65), an assembly including: a housing having a top wall, a bottom wall, a back wall, a first side wall, a second side wall, and a front wall (figures 1-3); a processor (USB interface control circuit, (figure 4)) being mounted within said housing (figure 4, column 1, lines 40-45 and column 2, lines 20-25); a plurality of keys defining a computer keyboard (figures 1-3) being positioned in said top wall and being substantially flush with said top wall (figures 1-3, column 2, lines 30-41), each of said keys being electrically coupled to said processor (figures 1-4, column 2, lines 40-45, column 2, lines 20-25 and column 2, lines 60-65); a display (display, (figures 1-4, (20)) being mounted in said top wall (column 2, lines 29-36) and being substantially flush with said top wall (column 2, lines 29-36), said display (display, (figures 1-4, (20)) being electrically coupled to said processor (USB interface control circuit, (figure 4)) (column 1, lines 40-45 and column 2, lines 15-28), a space between each said keys (see figures 1-3); an interface being electrically coupled to said processor and selectively coupled to the computer for communication between said processor and the computer (figure 4 and column 2, lines 15-30); and wherein input from said plurality of keys may be received by said computer and a video signal received from the computer may be displayed on said display (figures 1-4, column 1, lines 40-59 and column 2, lines 15-65), said interface including a first transceiver and second transceiver each adapted for sending and receiving wireless transmissions (figures 1-4 and column 2, lines 14-65), said first transceiver being electrically coupled to said processor (figures

Art Unit: 2629

1-4 and column 2, lines 14-65), said second transceiver being removably electrically coupled to the computer (figures 1-4 and column 2, lines 14-65), a sound emitter being mounted within said housing (column 1, lines 27-32), (edges of the top wall being sealed (clearly shows in claims 1-3) and housing having a height from said top to said bottom wall (figures 1-3).

Dong does not disclose a keyboard's housing having a height a specific size, such as, less than 2 ½ inches.

However, it is a design choice to make a keyboard height having less than 2 1/2 inches, unless it shows such specific size/height is an advantage feature, so as to increase the versatility of the input device.

A change is size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Dong does not expressly disclose touch sensitive key, LCD display, foot pads being attached to said bottom wall, and light emitters being mounted within said housing, and said apertures being positioned adjacent to said sound emitter.

However, Ozolins disclose touch sensitive key (figures 1 & 3, column 2, paragraph 0015 and column 7, paragraph 0067), LCD display (column 2, paragraph 0015), foot pads being attached to said bottom wall (devices attached to or integrated with the keyboard, (column 2, paragraph 0015)), column 4, paragraph 0032 and column 6, paragraph 0056), and light emitters being mounted within said housing (column 8, paragraph 0074, and said apertures (figures 1 & 3, (102)) being positioned adjacent to said sound emitter (figures 1 & 3 and column 5, paragraph 0049).

Art Unit: 2629

Page 8

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Ozolins's keyboard having different feature into Dong's modified device so as to perform a controller function of proving signals relating to the state of the switches to couple computers or other devices (column 0010, paragraph 0010).

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ohashi (4,916,699) teaches touch panel sensitive display.

Yates et al. (2001/0040551 A1) teaches a hand held remote computer.

Chan et al. (2006/0011461 A1) teaches a computer keyboard backlighting.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mansour M. Said whose telephone number is 571-272-7679. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:30-6:00 P.M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard A. Hjerpe whose telephone number is 571-272-7691.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

571-273-8300 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Art Unit: 2629

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to the Customer Service Window at the Randolph Building, 401, Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mansour M. Said

May 26/06

RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 9